```
Case 2:15-cr-00924-GMS Document 119 Filed 01/24/17 Page 1 of 24
                 CR-15-00924-PHX-GMS(DKD), August 4, 2015
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
 2
                        FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
 3
     United States of America,
 4
                         Plaintiff,
                                            CR-15-00924-PHX-GMS (DKD)
 5
                                            August 4, 2015
                                            Phoenix, Arizona
                  vs.
 6
     Erik Stephen Foster,
                                            1:34 p.m.
 7
                         Defendant.
8
9
     BEFORE: THE HONORABLE EILEEN S. WILLETT, MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
                         TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11
                      <u>DETENTION HEARING</u> (Continued)
12
13
                           APPEARANCES
     For the Government:
14
               LISA JENNIS, ESQ.
15
               U.S. Attorney's Office (Phoenix)
               40 N. Central, Suite 1200
16
               Phoenix, AZ 85004-4408
               602.514.7500/(fax) 602.514.7650
17
     For the Defendant:
18
               LOYD C. TATE, ESQ.
               Law Office of Loyd C. Tate
19
               1921 S. Alma School Road, Suite 303
               Mesa, AZ 85210
               480.345.1400/(fax)480.345.1531
20
     Transcriptionist:
21
     Elaine Cropper
     Sandra Day O'Connor U.S. Courthouse
22
     401 West Washington Street, SPC 35
     Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2150
23
     602.322.7245/(fax) 602.322.7253
24
     Proceedings Recorded by Electronic Sound Recording
     Transcript Produced by Transcriptionist
25
                      United States District Court
```

## 

## PROCEEDINGS

(Court was called to order by the courtroom deputy.)
(Proceedings begin at 1:34.)

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Criminal docket 15-924, United States of America v. Erik Foster, on for a continued detention hearing.

MS. JENNIS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Lisa Jennis for the United States.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MR. TATE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Loyd Tate on behalf of Mr. Erik Foster who is in custody, seated to my right.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

This matter was continued from Friday I believe. The Court had some questions of counsel and counsel did need the opportunity to review some documentation that was provided.

So, therefore, the Court begins with its questions that it had on Friday and then you can both present what you would like to present today with regard to what you've since seen.

But my first question was, is this a presumption case and what is the minimum mandatory sentence on Count 1 and any maximum sentence?

MS. JENNIS: So the minimum mandatory would be ten years, Your Honor, because it's five kilos or more of cocaine.

THE COURT: And is there a maximum?

MS. JENNIS: Life, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Therefore, this is a presumption case?

MS. JENNIS: Yes, it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. The next question the Court had was, I needed more specifics with regard to the alleged role of this individual and what was actually observed by law enforcement with regard to that exact role as alleged in the indictment.

MS. JENNIS: Yes, Your Honor. And I think we had discussed that the complaint is accurate up to the end of the complaint. So what was observed is all the communication with the undercover agent was between co-defendant Paris Frazier and It was not -- did not involve Mr. Foster. the undercover.

On the date of this case, I think it was on July 22, law enforcement did observe the defendant and his two co-defendants driving in the defendant's car so I'll just refer to the defendant would be Mr. Foster if that's okay?

So they were in Mr. Foster's Camry, the three of them, and they met in the undercover parking lot. Only Mr. Frazier exited the car to meet the undercover agent.

THE COURT: Who was the driver?

MS. JENNIS: The driver at the time was Mr.

And after that meeting, Mr. Foster -- and, again, the co-defendants, again, Mr. Foster is driving, proceed eventually

CR-15-00924-PHX-GMS(DKD), August 4, 2015

to the warehouse where the car that contains the cocaine is parked. They do some countersurveillance. Again, Mr. Foster is driving so they kind of drive around the location for about 15 minutes.

Then they get to the warehouse which is surrounded by a gate, a fence, and a locked gate. Mr. Frazier gets out of the front seat -- front passenger side of the car and he cuts the lock. Then as he returns to the car, Mr. Deatherage gets out of the back seat of the car and Mr. Deatherage goes through the gate. Mr. Frazier is behind him. Mr. Foster remains in the car.

It's a little bit of a walk, you know, to get to the car that contains the narcotics. When they get close to the car, Mr. Deatherage stops and appears to conduct some sort of security. We cannot see any firearms. They are never observed on the video. There's no firearms observed because -- there is air surveillance going on at this time.

When Mr. Frazier gets to the car, he opens the trunk and then he opens the rear back seat, back door of -- it's a four-door car and closes the door. Then he goes back to the trunk and he takes out six kilos of cocaine. Him and Mr. Deatherage then return to the car. As they are returning, you do see -- I can't see inside the car but I know that --

THE COURT: Which car? You've got two cars.

MS. JENNIS: I'm sorry. I can't see inside the

Camry, which is owned by Mr. Foster. You can't see inside of it but you can see the car door's open on the passenger side, both the front and the back. And Mr. Deatherage and Mr. Frazier then get into the Camry which is still driven by Mr. Foster.

And just in case Your Honor is reading -- I'm sure you've read the complaint. That is where the mistake was. We originally thought it was Mr. Foster who got out of the car with Mr. Frazier and Mr. Deatherage was driving. Upon review of the surveillance tapes, that is clear that that is not what happened. What happened is what I told you and that Mr. Foster remains in the car at all times and is the driver of the Camry.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. JENNIS: So the two of them, Mr. Frazier and Mr. Deatherage, get in the car. The car kind of takes off and within seconds, is lit up by law enforcement trying to get them to stop. It doesn't stop. It continues to go at a high rate of speed through traffic. It's approximately 7 o'clock. It's between 6:30 and seven. I'm sorry, Your Honor, I do the agents.

THE COURT: a.m. or p.m.?

MS. JENNIS: p.m. on a Wednesday and he --

THE COURT: Where?

MS. JENNIS: In Phoenix approximately --

Excuse me one second.

. 6

CR-15-00924-PHX-GMS(DKD), August 4, 2015

(Ms. Jennis confers with the case agent.)

MS. JENNIS: Indian School and 43rd Avenue, Your
Honor. I did watch the video. I watched the surveillance tape
but I can't tell exactly what the streets are.

THE COURT: Between six and 7 p.m. at night?

MS. JENNIS: Yes.

THE COURT: In traffic?

MS. JENNIS: Yes, there's traffic.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. JENNIS: There's traffic but it wasn't stopped traffic. It was just normal traffic for that time.

THE COURT: And the speed of the car?

MS. JENNIS: I cannot tell but I can tell you it is going a lot faster than any of the other cars, okay? You can definitely see it passing every other vehicle. It does that for a while and then when it gets to Grand Avenue, it does go for just a couple of seconds the wrong way. It kind of crosses across Grand Avenue going the wrong way to pull into some sort of -- I don't know. It's like a dirt parking lot, Your Honor, where they kind of hang out for a little bit and then eventually it gets back on the road and takes off to Paris Frazier's girlfriend's house which is on Anderson Road in Phoenix and I believe that is somewhere near 7th Street and Bell, that general area.

THE COURT: Does law enforcement stop the chase?

MS. JENNIS: No, it does not because -- and this is in the complaint -- for safety of law enforcement and of the They stop the chase early on. So that's before they go the wrong way up Grand Avenue.

THE COURT: So the answer to my question was, they stopped the chase?

MS. JENNIS: They stopped the chase, yes. But they did have air surveillance.

Then --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And so this is by air surveillance tape that you're relaying this?

MS. JENNIS: Yes. I mean, I have -- the agent reviewed it. I've also reviewed it and I did just provide it to Mr. Tate.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JENNIS: When they get to Mr. Frazier's girlfriend's house, they park across the street. Mr. Frazier gets out of the vehicle and then he moves a truck from the driveway of his girlfriend's house and then the Camry pulls in where the truck was. So then you really can't see anything after that, Your Honor. There's nothing -- you can't see what's going on. Law enforcement does approach the house later on that evening. They call everyone inside the house out and this is in the complaint. Four people exit the house, the three defendants and the girlfriend. There is nothing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CR-15-00924-PHX-GMS(DKD), August 4, 2015

The Camry owned by Mr. Foster is searched. nothing found in the Camry. The cocaine is -- was thrown out of the car. It was in a duffel bag, thrown out of the car early on in the chase and it is located. Mr. Frazier provides some details about that. Located in the house, as we discussed earlier, were many, many weapons, many firearms, long arms and pistols, most of which belonged to Mr. Frazier. Mr. Frazier did identify two firearms as belonging to Mr. Foster and two belonging to Mr. Deatherage, one being a pistol and one being an assault rifle.

THE COURT: Mr. Foster's weapons that were found in the home?

MS. JENNIS: A pistol and an assault rifle and also the same with Mr. Deatherage.

THE COURT: And this is from the co-defendant or is this from licensure on the weapons?

MS. JENNIS: This is from the co-defendant's statement. Those firearms -- I don't believe we've done a trace on them or completed that yet. But they don't come back to -- they are not weapons that are required to be registered, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JENNIS: But we will conduct a trace and possibly find out who purchased those firearms and when, but we don't have that information.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CR-15-00924-PHX-GMS(DKD), August 4, 2015

THE COURT: Okay. But the reason you're saying it is because it was communicated by the co-defendant?

MS. JENNIS: Yes. Mr. Frazier is why we know this. Mr. Frazier also told us that those firearms that were owned by Deatherage and by Foster were inside the vehicle during the time I've described, from the parking lot to where the drugs were to the journey to the girlfriend's house. But they were not there when law enforcement got there and searched the vehicle.

Now, we don't know much about Mr. Foster. answer your question about the offense? I think you had additional questions, a little bit about the Facebook and a about his affiliation with groups?

THE COURT: My last question, the question that you just answered, was what was the alleged role of Mr. Foster in the incident stated in the indictment and then was -- what was observed by law enforcement, if any of it, and then you answered that. The next question I had was, is the Government aware of any known ties that Mr. Foster may have to the Arizona Special Operations militia group that was referenced during the other co-defendant's hearings or any other militia group?

And then the last piece of information I had was that I had reviewed the exhibits from Mr. Deatherage's detention hearing which reflected Mr. Foster's name on the social network and it looked like Facebook is what those exhibits were.

MS. JENNIS: You are correct.

THE COURT: And Mr. Foster's name was posted as a like to two different statements that were reflected in the exhibits from Mr. Deatherage's detention hearing.

But my first question before that was any known ties to the Arizona Special Operations group that was referenced during the co-defendant's hearings?

MS. JENNIS: All the United States knows is that you are correct, that he does like two statements on the Special Operations or Arizona Special Operations group's Facebook. The agent and I did go back and look. I didn't see any comments. Sometimes you can't see those on printouts. I did not see any comments made by Mr. Foster. I just saw where he had liked.

I don't know --

THE COURT: Was this the Facebook page for Arizona Special Operations or was this the Facebook page for Mr. --

MS. JENNIS: Yes.

THE COURT: It was co-defendant Deatherage went by a name of Winchester on those pages that were attached to the exhibit sheets and it indicated Facebook, so it was Facebook for the Arizona Special Operations?

MS. JENNIS: Yes. So this would have been Exhibit 1 that the United States presented at Robert Deatherage's detention hearing. It is the Facebook -- it is public Facebook so it was just printed out for Arizona Special Operations

group.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JENNIS: It is believed that Mr. Deatherage, who goes by the name Anthony Winchester, is the leader of that group and the agent and I, after you had your hearing last Friday, went on the Facebook page to see if there were any comments made and we did not see any comments because sometimes you can't see those when you print them out. There's additional ways to look but we didn't see it.

So I don't know about his affiliation with militia groups. All the United States knows is that on May 29 -- I previously represented it was May 23 but on May 29 Mr. Foster, Mr. Deatherage and Mr. Frazier were all present at an Islamic protest in Phoenix, Arizona.

At that protest, there were photographs taken by law enforcement. And I found out yesterday that these fast -- and I communicated that to Mr. Tate last night and that his -- I think I always represented that Mr. Foster was at that gathering but he was actually armed with an assault rifle which appears to be the same automatic rifle that was located at Mr. Frazier's girlfriend's house on July 22, 2015.

At all times, as is the same with the other people, you know, they are allowed to have the assault rifles and they are pointing down. They are not pointed at people. It's a standard kind of photograph, but he is there with some tactical

gear on and an assault rifle and that is --

THE COURT: With a what -- oh, tactical gear on.

MS. JENNIS: Tactical gear and an assault rifle on, Your Honor. That is just additional information that I have.

I got relayed to me that somehow you had a question about aliases. I don't have any known aliases for Mr. Foster.

THE COURT: I don't --

MS. JENNIS: I don't know. Mr. Kirby, when he covered, had mentioned that but maybe he was -- you know, since he was just covering me, was perhaps he was talking because Mr. Deatherage using the alias as Mr. Winchester.

THE COURT: I did not have listed in my questions that I had that an alias question with regard to Mr. Foster.

I may have mentioned the picture of Mr. Deatherage that had the word "sniper" on it but I don't know if I did or not. It might have been in Mr. Deatherage's hearing.

MS. JENNIS: Well, Your Honor, all I have is that he doesn't have any known aliases that we know about.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JENNIS: I don't recall if there were additional questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I did not have any additional questions at the Friday hearing. I am going to ask you one question from information you just told me, though. You said there was an Islamic protest. Who was protesting what?

here in Phoenix did you say.

Community Center in Phoenix at 7516 North Black Canyon Highway, Phoenix, Arizona 85051. It is not the first protest this year. I believe it's the second one that occurred on May 29 of 2015.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Was there anything further from the Government at this point in time?

MS. JENNIS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And then, Mr. Tate, I know this is a presumption case. Go right ahead.

MR. TATE: Judge, would you prefer I speak at the podium?

THE COURT: Whichever is easier for you. If you have all of your notes there, you can certainly stay at the seat or if you need to consult with your client, you can stay there. Whatever is more convenient to you just as long as I can hear you.

MR. TATE: Yes, ma'am.

Judge, I think I would prefer if I can work backwards.

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. TATE: Meaning the Islamic protest and, again, the problem here, Judge, is that there's a whole lot of back story that we don't know. One, I am familiar with the incident that happened in Texas and then the gentleman that then had a cartoon -- Islamic cartoon here and the protest happened at this Islamic mosque. And here I don't want to relate as in any factual basis because I'm just going from what I watched on the news, but I am familiar with the situation in Texas and then following the story here that they had a cartoon Mohammed, a cartoon of Mohammed type of contest here and that protest happening at this particular mosque.

The problem with -- and we would ask that the Court

not give a lot of weight to that situation because, one, we don't know, and the Government has not provided any information, that Mr. Foster had any connection to the gentleman that organized this protest or that he was doing anything other than exercising his constitutional right to peacefully protest at this particular mosque.

There's just too many unknowns about it. Judge, the Government has not produced any type of evidence that Mr. Foster has any type of role in or special connection to the Arizona Special Operations group. If we proceed backwards and talk about Mr. Frazier and him claiming that certain weapons were Mr. Deatherage or certain weapons were Mr. Foster, Judge, I have been able to cover a lot of the Facebook posts and posts from Mr. Frazier and I would just offer to the Court that almost anything Mr. Frazier says is with suspect because of his posts and mainly just to say of his disgusting nature of his posts.

Judge, there has been no connection with my client at this point, at this early point, with him providing any weapons. Of course I'm not going to comment on his -- on any type of specific role in him either allegedly driving a car or not and I am -- that part I am just going to leave to the Court's discretion.

Judge, I would ask the Court to consider, though, that Mr. Foster, as was claimed originally in the affidavit,

CR-15-00924-PHX-GMS(DKD), August 4, 2015

the Government now has agreed that Mr. Foster was not involved in specifically going and getting drugs or the five or six kilos in making contact, getting these drugs at this particular warehouse.

I would ask the Court to consider also that while I cannot avow as to how all of this began, I think it is undisputed that the Government made contact with Mr. Frazier and their contact with Mr. Frazier led to a long trail of contact and following up on Mr. Frazier and all of that.

There was not any initial contact or surveillance of Mr. Foster. There was no initial contact so far as wait a minute, we need to check out who this Foster guy is from the beginning. Mr. Frazier had made all of these statements and viewed his posts. And, again, I'll let the Government contact as to how the initial, but there was a whole lot of background that happened with Mr. Frazier, not with my client as in coming up on any radar anything.

Now, let's talk about those particular names and I am learning much more about Facebook posts than I had ever known before. My understanding is that a meme is when you take a picture -- a picture is taken of someone, usually let's say a celebrity because in this particular Facebook post there was also a picture of President Reagan holding a -- it looks like a wine glass. Looks like he's at a state dinner. And then they put over it or under it some quote like, and I beg the Court's

indulgence just -- something like, you know, let's kill all of the mother fuckers.

Now, we know that President Reagan never had any affiliation or comment or anything like this. But they take the picture, put the comment under it as if it's attributed to President Reagan. Here, Judge, the analogy is when it says, "We're all fucking nuts but we're family and that means I'd hide a body for you," I would caution the Court that the picture of the people that are in the meme are not folks from the Arizona Special Operations.

Now, I'm not vouching for that group. I'm saying that that's a meme that they take a picture from, put this saying on, and Mr. Foster can like it, think it's funny. There's been a number of memes made about Bill Cosby that people show part of his Jello commercial, put a picture of Sleeping Beauty there and people like it in the sense that they may find it funny or not.

But what I do want to differentiate is to say it is a meme. It is not a quote from him or a specific person that he is now endorsing. Secondly, I believe, and I am willing to defer to the Government, that the second quote, "How far are you willing to go to protect yourself and your family's liberties and personal freedom, we are not gonna lose our country without a serious fight."

I am not sure whether that is a quote from
United States District Court

Mr. Deatherage or Mr. Frazier but, again, we don't know -- it shows Mr. Foster posted a like to the comment. It does not show that he made any comment with or posted any comments of this nature.

Judge, it is a presumption case but we would ask,

Judge, that the presumption can be overcome in the sense that

there is under the statute a least onerous means of making sure

that Mr. Foster appears in Court. He has a place of residence.

Now, that place of residence may change because it is under -- subject to foreclosure and we're not sure if that's going to happen or not. Of course he would have to report that to Pretrial Services and give any other residence that he would stay at.

But, Judge, he has some serious health needs. He has been on disability. Since he has been at CCA, he tells me that he has not received any medication for PTSD or anxiety. My understanding was that the VA doctor had recommended and that there was actually a service dog that helps him or aids him. And for me to try to tell the Court how that works, I'm not really sure. Somehow it aids him and calms him and he was actually provided this service dog.

Judge --

THE COURT: For the PTSD or anxiety?

MR. TATE: Yes, ma'am. And so what we are asking is that if he is on release, he can be strictly monitored. He can

CR-15-00924-PHX-GMS(DKD), August 4, 2015

be ordered not to have use of a computer at all, much less have any contact with any Arizona Special Operations sites. He has no reason to be in contact with any member of the Arizona Special Operations group. He has no criminal record is my understanding and, Judge, there is a least onerous means to make sure that he is monitored, receives his medication and also is not a danger to the community.

THE COURT: Do you know what medication is he on?

MR. TATE: My understanding is that he had not been prescribed medication because they wanted to drop -- they, the VA Hospital wanted to try the service dog first because at the time he was a -- had a CDL license and was driving for Swift Trucking and he could not be on those medications and drive.

THE COURT: Okay. So there's no medication currently that is prescribed that he is not receiving at the facility that he is in now?

MR. TATE: Yes, Your Honor. There is no prescribed medication. They were trying the dog and things of that nature first and of course at CCA he can't have the service dog or anything of that nature.

THE COURT: Right. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Tate.

MR. TATE: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And did the Government have anything in rebuttal on the defense's proffer?

MS. JENNIS: Your Honor, what Mr. Tate says is

correct. You know, I don't believe that Mr. Foster posted anything on this Facebook account but just something that I didn't point out before. In all fairness, I guess I thought this was Mr. Frazier but the picture where Mr. Foster likes the other one where it says on Exhibit 1 that I used in Mr. Deatherage's case, it says, "Chance of a murder or terrorist attacking this gathering," it's page five of that exhibit. Do you have that, Your Honor?

THE COURT: I've got the exhibits with me. Hang on. Yes. I have that page.

MS. JENNIS: That is a picture of Mr. Foster. I think initially I thought that was Mr. Frazier. Now, seeing the pictures that were provided to me today by --

THE COURT: Are you talking about the gentleman to the left?

MS. JENNIS: Yes, with the black hat on and the firearm.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. JENNIS: That is Mr. Foster.

THE COURT: With Mr. Deatherage?

MS. JENNIS: Yes. And I have no information that they knew each other before or that Mr. Foster was involved in this group before or anything.

So when Mr. Tate says that we were looking at Mr. Frazier and Mr. Foster came on our radar on July 22, he is

correct. Were we taking pictures of Mr. Foster? Were we looking for him when we were at the protest? No. It's just something that law enforcement attends these protests to make sure that they are peaceful and takes photographs. They were not targeting Mr. Foster.

THE COURT: And this is a picture, what is it, the meme -- what do they call it?

MR. TATE: It's calls a meme.

THE COURT: The meme that has the picture that says, "Chance of a murderer or terrorist attacking this gathering, that is a picture that was taken at the Islamic protest?" Is that what you are saying?

MS. JENNIS: Yes. On May 29 of 2015, Your Honor.

MR. TATE: Judge, I'm not saying that that is a meme.

I am saying --

THE COURT: The meme is something that comes from another source.

MR. TATE: Right.

THE COURT: Yeah. Got it. Thank you.

MS. JENNIS: This is different than the picture of Ronald Reagan with a glass of wine.

THE COURT: Right. And a picture of the charters from whatever --

MS. JENNIS: Exactly.

THE COURT: -- movie that was.

MS. JENNIS: And we have no indications that Mr. Foster posted that.

THE COURT: What did you say?

MS. JENNIS: We have no indication that Mr. Foster posted this post, just that he liked it. Again, I saw no evidence of any commentary by Mr. Foster other than that clarification because I don't -- you know, I don't know I really understood that that was him. The last time we were here but now just looking at photographs, it just comes to light that that really is him in that picture. It's Mr. Foster.

So that was taken on May 29, 2015, at the protest at the Islamic mosque.

But other than that, Your Honor, you know, I listened to what Mr. Tate has to say and the United States has not changed its position and a lot of it has to do with Mr. Foster's mental health and I had talked to probation about -- I mean Pretrial Services about this early on because I wanted to know what they thought about getting him the proper treatment for his post-traumatic stress. And when I spoke to Cici Foster -- no, relation to Erik Foster -- she told me that she has seen improvement in other people who are on pretrial release go through programs if not with the VA, then they have other programs that really help them with their posttraumatic stress disorder. I don't know about the service dogs but I

certainly have heard about service dogs assisting people with mental health conditions.

So the United States today just wants Your Honor to have the complete picture, but we still do believe that the conditions set by Pretrial Services are such that would ensure that Mr. Foster was neither a danger to himself or to the community.

THE COURT: All right.

Well, thank you, everyone, for coming back to answer the questions that I had in addition to the information that you've provided me with.

Mr. Foster, this is now taken under advisement by me and I'll be issuing a ruling very shortly, hopefully by the end of the day, and it will be posted probably or dropped as an order probably tomorrow. Okay. Thank you very much.

MS. JENNIS: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. TATE: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Proceedings concluded at 2:11 p.m.)

Case 2:15-cr-00924-GMS Document 119 Filed 01/24/17 Page 24 of 24 CR-15-00924-PHX-GMS(DKD), August 4, 2015 CERTIFICATE I, ELAINE M. CROPPER, court-approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my skill and ability, from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 23rd day of January, 2017. s/Elaine M. Cropper Elaine M. Cropper